



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,926	08/23/2001	Thomas Welsh	195-01	1408

7590 06/05/2002
Paul & Paul
2900 Two Thousand Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

EXAMINER

FEE, WILLIAM S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3677

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/935,926

Applicant(s)

WELSH ET AL.

Examiner

William S. Fee

Art Unit

3677

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6. 6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The provisional application 60/228,726 filed 8-27-00 from which applicant is claiming benefit from is not disclosed in the first sentence of the specification.
2. Page 5, line 2 recites "snap legs 220" however, page 4, line 32, recites "frame 220".
3. Page 5, line 3 recites "side wall 23" however, page 5, line 1, recites "side wall 22".
4. Page 6, line 28 recites "central aperture 84" however, page 6, line 26, recites "fingers 84".
5. Page 9, line 9, recites "lockpawl 73" however, page 9, line 9, recites "lockpawl 70".

Drawings

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "220" has been used to designate both snap legs and frame. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
7. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 30 on page 4 line 35, 200 on page 5 line 22, 216 on page 5 line 25, 110 on page 7 line 5 and 149 on page 8 line 17. A proposed drawing correction or corrected

Art Unit: 3677

drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schlack, U.S.P. 5,201,557.

Regarding claims 1-7, Schlack discloses a latch comprising a housing 16, a lever handle 50 rotatable between a first and second position, a pawl 94 mounted for linear motion and actuated by a lever handle, a carriage 80 mounted for linear motion with the pawl, and connection means rotatably connecting the lever handle and the pawl (see Figs. 3-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlack, U.S.P. 5,201,557 in view of Tedesco et al., U.S.P. 4,858,970.

Schlack is discussed above however, Schlack does not disclose a pawl mounted to travel between an open position along a first path and an intermediate position where the first path is linear and where the pawl is mounted to travel in a second path in a direction perpendicular to a first path between an intermediate position and a closed position.

Regarding claims 2-7, Tedesco teaches a pawl 28 mounted to travel between an open position along a first path and an intermediate position where the first path is linear (see Fig. 7) and where the pawl is mounted to travel in a second path in a direction perpendicular to a first path between an intermediate position and a closed position where the second path is linear (see Fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the latch of Schlack, with first and second pawl paths perpendicular to one another for pawl movement between open, intermediate and closed position as taught by Tedesco, in order to make the latch more secure by closing tighter.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William S. Fee whose telephone number is (703) 305-3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann, can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

William S. Fee
Examiner
Art Unit 3677


ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER